**ACTION:** No Change

DATE: 07/31/2014 12:52 PM

## Rule Summary and Fiscal Analysis (Part A)

# Petroleum Underground Storage Tank Release Compensation Board

Agency Name

Jonathan Maneval

Division

Contact

50 West Broad Street, Suite 1500, Columbus OH

**614-752-8963** 

614-752-8397

43215-5934

Agency Mailing Address (Plus Zip)

Phone

Fax

imaneval@petroboard.org

Email

3737-1-06 Rule Number

**NO CHANGE** 

TYPE of rule filing

Rule Title/Tag Line

The deductible and the reduced deductible.

#### **RULE SUMMARY**

- 1. Is the rule being filed consistent with the requirements of the RC 119.032 review? Yes
- 2. Are you proposing this rule as a result of recent legislation? No
- 3. Statute prescribing the procedure in accordance with the agency is required to adopt the rule: 119.03
- 4. Statute(s) authorizing agency to adopt the rule: 3737.90
- 5. Statute(s) the rule, as filed, amplifies or implements: 3737.91
- 6. State the reason(s) for proposing (i.e., why are you filing,) this rule:

The rule is being filed in accordance with the five-year rule review requirement of section 119.032 of the Revised Code.

7. If the rule is an AMENDMENT, then summarize the changes and the content of the proposed rule; If the rule type is RESCISSION, NEW or NO CHANGE, then summarize the content of the rule:

Page 2 Rule Number: 3737-1-06

This rule describes the standard and reduced deductible amounts of Fund coverage. It establishes the qualification for a responsible person to obtain coverage at the reduced deductible, and it sets the additional per-tank fee amount for obtaining Fund coverage with a reduced deductible.

8. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference and the agency claims the incorporation by reference is exempt from compliance with sections 121.71 to 121.74 of the Revised Code because the text or other material is **generally available** to persons who reasonably can be expected to be affected by the rule, provide an explanation of how the text or other material is generally available to those persons:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

9. If the rule incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material electronically, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material electronically was infeasible:

This response left blank because filer specified online that the rule does not incorporate a text or other material by reference.

10. If the rule is being **rescinded** and incorporates a text or other material by reference, and it was **infeasible** for the agency to file the text or other material, provide an explanation of why filing the text or other material was infeasible:

Not Applicable.

11. If **revising** or **refiling** this rule, identify changes made from the previously filed version of this rule; if none, please state so. If applicable, indicate each specific paragraph of the rule that has been modified:

Not Applicable.

#### 12. 119.032 Rule Review Date: 7/31/2014 and 07/31/2019

(If the rule is not exempt and you answered NO to question No. 1, provide the scheduled review date. If you answered YES to No. 1, the review date for this rule is the filing date.)

NOTE: If the rule is not exempt at the time of final filing, two dates are required: the current review date plus a date not to exceed 5 years from the effective date

Page 3 Rule Number: 3737-1-06

for Amended rules or a date not to exceed 5 years from the review date for No Change rules.

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

13. Estimate the total amount by which *this proposed rule* would **increase / decrease** either **revenues / expenditures** for the agency during the current biennium (in dollars): Explain the net impact of the proposed changes to the budget of your agency/department.

This will have no impact on revenues or expenditures.

\$0

Not Applicable.

14. Identify the appropriation (by line item etc.) that authorizes each expenditure necessitated by the proposed rule:

Not Applicable.

15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule to all directly affected persons. When appropriate, please include the source for your information/estimated costs, e.g. industry, CFR, internal/agency:

Not Applicable.

- 16. Does this rule have a fiscal effect on school districts, counties, townships, or municipal corporations?  $N_0$
- 17. Does this rule deal with environmental protection or contain a component dealing with environmental protection as defined in R. C. 121.39?  $N_0$

### S.B. 2 (129th General Assembly) Questions

- 18. Has this rule been filed with the Common Sense Initiative Office pursuant to R.C. 121.82? Yes
- 19. Specific to this rule, answer the following:
- A.) Does this rule require a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business?  $N_0$

Page 4 Rule Number: 3737-1-06

B.) Does this rule impose a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or create a cause of action, for failure to comply with its terms?  $N_0$ 

C.) Does this rule require specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance? Yes

The deductible and reduced deductible amounts are set forth in the rule. No reimbursement from the Fund for the costs of corrective action or compensation to third parties for bodily injury or property damage can occur until the responsible person has incurred costs that exceed the applicable deductible. In addition, eligible responsible persons that choose to obtain a reduced deductible will incur an additional annual per-tank fee. Pursuant to paragraph (B) of the rule, only responsible persons owning, or owning or operating, a total of six or fewer underground storage tanks may purchase the reduced deductible.